

Table 1

<b>Tockenham Parish Housing Specific Survey December 2015</b>	<b>201 questionnaires distributed</b>
<b>103 respondents in total</b>	
<b>Questions 2,4, and 5 allowed respondents to tick multiple options</b>	
<b>Question 1</b>	
<b>What are your views on further new dwellings being built within the Parish of Tockenham?</b>	
<b>a) No development at all</b>	26
<b>b) Limited development - solely to meet needs of current residents or businesses</b>	29
<b>c) Small scale development to allow for some growth within the community</b>	48
<b>d) Larger scale development</b>	0
<b>Question 2</b>	
<b>What type of dwelling would you prefer to see?</b>	
<b>a) Starter homes</b>	22
<b>b) Housing association/shared ownership</b>	13
<b>c) Small family homes - up to 2 bedrooms</b>	59
<b>d) Large family homes - 3 or more bedrooms</b>	32
<b>e) Bungalows or homes suitable for older/disabled people</b>	20
<b>f) Mansion Style</b>	2
<b>g) Other</b>	Medium sized family homes £200k - £300k
	Suitable mix of dwellings
	Decided on an individual basis

<b>Q3</b>	
<b>What is the maximum number of new dwellings that should be built here over the next 10 years?</b>	
<b>Less than 10</b>	30
<b>11 - 15</b>	10
<b>16 -20</b>	15
<b>20 - 30</b>	5
<b>30 -40</b>	3
<b>50</b>	3
<b>Other</b>	2.5% on existing number of houses
	5% on existing number of houses
<b>Q4</b>	
<b>Where do you think any new dwellings should be located?</b>	
<b>a) As infill -well related to core of village</b>	52
<b>b) In fields on outskirts of village core</b>	24
<b>c) Brown-field sites located in other outlying, built up areas of the Parish</b>	38
<b>d) Others</b>	Decided on an individual basis
	Brown-field but can't think of any
	Depending on each application
	No building in centre of village - 3 respondents
	Infill in outlying communities
	In outlying areas
<b>Q5</b>	
<b>What would be your reason for having further development in Tockenham?</b>	
<b>a) To support a business</b>	8
<b>b) For family members</b>	27
<b>c) For down sizing purposes</b>	24
<b>d) To sustain a balance within the community</b>	57

e) Other	To allow a bit of growth. I think the field in the centre should be made in to a village green with no more houses on it
	The village has grown and developed over hundreds of years, thankfully small scale development has led to a current thriving community. however even further development could lead to a shop, a pub, etc which will enable the community to thrive in the future. Over the past 40 years there have been 11+ bungalows, 10+ large dwellings, 4 council houses sold off, a number of barn conversions but no development for young families or downsizing. If the above building had not been allowed Tockenham would be no more than a hamlet now with very little community.
	Individual merits
	Tockenham should see small growth in line with national needs
	To enable our community to offer affordable housing to people wishing to enjoy a rural community life - 3 respondents
	There is a gap in larger affordable family homes
	To ensure growth and survival of village with fresh blood and families
	Limiting the inevitable - 2 respondents
	I farm locally and would like to be able to buy a house one day
	To allow a bit of growth
	Rural areas need to expand very slowly
	So we can live here as our rented accommodation is too small for a family

	<p>To increase property values for existing home owners or for selling of land for use. We should allow limited organic growth only. Any new buildings of homes should be for people who want to build their own homes, not for speculative sale to others. Multi home developments on a single site should not be allowed. High density, small houses detract from Tockenham and do nothing for our community. Single (or maybe semi-detached) homes only. Small starter homes and housing association homes only benefit first time buyers (as well as outside speculators/builders). Although there is a need for these types of home in the country, Tockenham does not have a need for these homes. I do not believe we have a 'need' for development. Some may express some 'desires' or 'hopes' for housing locally, but we do not 'need' any more houses in the entire parish (besides the occasional building of one home at a time). Wiltshire may have needs for more houses (as does the entire country), but that need is not evident here. If local government wants to build homes in this part of Wiltshire - they can do it elsewhere. Further, we should resist speculative development by builders or developers as well as any potential plans Wiltshire County has for new homes in Tockenham parish. Given the state of the current infrastructure, this parish could support very few houses without significant upgrading of the infrastructure.</p>
<p><b>Data processing by Stevie Palmer, Bradenstoke</b></p>	
<p><b>Ann Kingdon, Lyneham</b></p>	
<p><b>18th December 2015</b></p>	
<p><b>Audit by Veronica and Martin Stubbings, Broad Town</b></p>	
<p><b>4th January 2016</b></p>	